
SB34/5 - Minutes of the 34th Steering Board Meeting

Tuesday 16th April 2019

12:00 UTC / 13:00 BST / 14:00 CEST / 08:00 EDT / 22:00 AEDT

Attendance

Joe D'Angelo (Xperi)
Alexander ERK (IRT)
John FARRELL (Frontier Smart Technologies)
Walter HUIJTEN (NPO)
David LAYER (NAB) (Chair)
Nick PIGGOTT (RadioDNS Project Director)
Nacho SEIRUL-LO (NXP)
Christian WINTER (AUDI)

Apologies

Ben POOR (EBU) (Secretary)
Dave WALTERS (BBC)

Absence

Kath BROWN (Commercial Radio Australia)
Ron WALKER (NPR)

Minutes

1. Approval of the [Minutes of the 33rd Steering Board Meeting](#)
Accepted unanimously.
WH asked for more details to be filled in around the Action Plan Sub Group - (item 6). DL asked for some more details on the Radioplayer discussion to be filled in. (item 5).
2. Actions from previous meetings
 - a. Standard Terms of Use for Metadata (NP)
See Agenda item below
 - b. Progress work on T&D and Reg (NP)
Draft requirements document exists, and work on it now.
3. [Standard Licence for Metadata and Content Update](#) (SB34/2) - NP
NP: Explained the submissions, and that two will follow
DL: Explained that the NAB response will follow shortly. Hopefully this week.
AE: Will we get a compendium? NP: Yes
JF / CW / JD: Some common concerns from the manufacturing side

NP: Feels like there's a mix of simple fixes, clarity of definitions, and then a more nuanced discussion about scope of the licence - what it intends to cover and what it's silent about

DL: Scope is likely to be a main part of the NAB response, and so needs to be a bit more complete. One of the possibilities is that there is a variant licence for the US, as there are some US specific requirements, which may conflict with other territories. (US Broadcasters want station lists handled by FM frequency, for example).

NP: Discussed how we might have a small number of diverse licences representing territorial requirements but that we need manufacturer input in order to tell broadcasters what their requests are reasonable

JD: Compliance and testing is quite important, and the potential for enforcement.

JF: Would RadioDNS offer a warranty for compliance?

NP: No, wouldn't become a party to a warranty

NP: We should probably let people know if we're going to publish documents verbatim or excerpts from them.

DL: We should probably consider how we might share this to members as well as board members.

JD: It wasn't explicitly clear to us where service and technology providers sit in respect of this licence, so that clarification would be helpful.

DL: Let's organise another meeting for Wednesday 15th May 2019.

JD: Are we sufficiently supported for legal counsel?

NP: I think we do, but I can have a look for other legal representation.

JD: The documents tend to get quite complex, so we might want someone with better levels of experience in this area? Would applying licences to specific applications make it easier to get progress?

NP: Maybe - some of the concerns that were raised would generically apply to everything. But it's a good suggestion.

4. [Technology Group Report](#) (SB34/3) - BP

DL: When will the last set of changes be published?

NP: TS 102 818 will be published on 2019-06-20.

DL: Should we do a publication with an explanation of what's changed on that date?

NP: Yes, we should do that.

DL: Does this group have regular meetings scheduled?

NP: That's Ben's intention.

DL: Can we get those dates secured and circulated around?

5. [Project Office Report](#) (SB34/4) - NP

a. Financial Review

b. Membership Review

c. Events Report

CW: Very happy with the level of interest at each of RDE and NAB.

DL: The In Vehicle exhibit area would require an investment from RadioDNS. I'll contact Eric Trab and see if we can get some details on cost.

d. Radioplayer Update

e. Regional RadioDNS activities

AE: We shouldn't try and prevent this, they're spreading the good word for us, but we should have an eye on it, make sure the information is correct.

WH: What is the intent of the radiodns.uk site?

JF: It's potentially confusing around the world radiodns.uk, it also infringes the standard terms, and seems to be a directory service - but it is useful.

JD: We do need to enforce trademarks so that we don't lose control of them, we may have to be proactive.

NP: I think we do need to offer a licence if people are using radiodns.

JD: What's the model for the new DAB+ logo?

NP: I'll go find out.

6. PILOT RadioDNS Viewer (DL)

DL: Explained the NAB internal tool for checking RadioDNS data in the USA.

7. Any Other Business